

Published on Web 04/15/2009

Methane in an Open-Cage [60]Fullerene

Keith E. Whitener, Jr.,[†] R. James Cross,^{*,†} Martin Saunders,[†] Sho-ichi Iwamatsu,^{*,‡} Shizuaki Murata,[‡] Naomi Mizorogi,[§] and Shigeru Nagase[§]

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, P.O. Box 208107, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8107, Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan, and Department of Theoretical and Computational Molecular Science, Institute for Molecular Science, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8585, Japan

Received February 26, 2009; E-mail: james.cross@yale.edu; iwmt@urban.env.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Among the many chemical modifications of fullerenes, carbon–carbon bond cleavage reactions are important because they result in the formation of "open-cage fullerenes," which can allow atoms and small molecules into the inner sphere of fullerenes.^{1,2} The closing of the opening, after putting a chemical species inside, gives an endohedral fullerene compound.³ Pure $H_2@C_{60}$ was recently synthesized using this strategy^{4,5} in sufficient amounts to be used for a variety of fundamental studies.^{6–8}

When a chemical species is moved through the hole in an opencage fullerene, applicable substrates are mainly restricted by the size of the opening. We have expanded the size of an opening on C_{60} by successive cage scissions and synthesized the compound **1** (Scheme 1).^{9,10} This has the largest opening of all the open-cage fullerenes made to date,^{4,9–11} and we have achieved the encapsulations of H₂O, CO, N₂, NH₃, and noble gas atoms.^{9,12–14} Here, we report the encapsulation of methane in **1** and the spectroscopic properties of a methane molecule in a chemically modified C_{60} cage. The kinetic diameter of methane is 0.38 nm. However, taking electrons of fullerene carbons into account, the effective inner space of C_{60} is estimated to be ~0.35 nm in diameter.³ Therefore, methane might be one of the largest guests for C_{60} . Although some computational studies have been done for $CH_4@C_{60}$,^{15–17} there have been no experimental reports to date.

In preliminary theoretical studies,¹⁸ the required energy for the methane insertion through the opening of **1** was estimated to be +37.3 kcal/mol at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)//B3LYP/3-21G level (Figure 1a). It suggests that both high pressure and high temperature are essential, but insertion is possible. For the product CH₄@**1** (Figure 1b), the B3LYP calculation suggested repulsive destabilization, whereas the MPWB1K calculation predicted associative stabilization.^{18,19} These trends are consistent with the calculations for CH₄@C₆₀.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Since the van der Waals attraction is an important part of the interaction, electron correlation must be included.

The encapsulation experiment was performed at 200 °C under 19.2 MPa of a methane gas using 1-methylnaphthalene as a solvent. Due to the high temperature, significant decomposition of 1 took place during the reaction. After purification by using silica gel chromatography, 20% of 1 was recovered. The fraction of $CH_4@1$ in the recovered 1 was estimated to be 39% by ¹H NMR (see below). As in the case of $H_2@C_{60}$,⁴ the fraction of $CH_4@1$ could be enriched by further HPLC purification using a buckyprep column. The methane content was improved up to 66% after several repeated purifications.¹⁸ At present, however, qualitative isolation

[†] Yale University.

Scheme 1. Encapsulation of a Methane Molecule in 1



of pure $CH_4@1$ has not been achieved because of significant loss of the product in addition to the low recovery yield of **1**.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the purified **1** after the methane pressurization is shown in Figure 2. One sharp singlet assignable to CH₄ in **1** was observed at $\delta = -12.32$ ppm along with the known signal of H₂O@**1** ($\delta = -11.45$ ppm).⁹ For a reference, the reported chemical shift of methane dissolved in CDCl₃ is $\delta = 0.23$ ppm.²⁰ This large upfield shift ($\Delta \delta = -12.5$ ppm) is caused by the magnetic shielding of the fullerene cage and is typical for the trapped atoms and molecules in fullerene derivatives. Also, it was well reproduced by the calculation ($\Delta \delta = -10.8$ ppm) at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.¹⁸

In an ordinary ¹³C NMR spectrum, the CH₄ carbon in **1** could not be detected due to the low fraction of CH₄@**1**. However, the DEPT135 spectrum showed one downward signal at $\delta = -20.62$ ppm as shown in Figure 3. The reported chemical shift of methane dissolved in CDCl₃ is $\delta = -2.3$ ppm,²⁰ and the observed upfield shift ($\Delta \delta = -18.3$ ppm) was again reproduced by calculation ($\Delta \delta$ = -9.3 ppm) as in the case of the CH₄ protons in ¹H NMR. Finally, the field-gradient HMQC spectrum showed a clear cross peak for these ¹H and DEPT135 NMR signals.¹⁸ Thus, we identified these signals as the trapped CH₄ in **1**. The fraction of CH₄@**1** in recovered **1** was estimated from the integral value of the methane signal in



Figure 1. B3LYP/3-21G structures of (a) the transition state for the methane insertion into 1 (R = H) and (b) one rotational isomer of CH₄@1. Only methane molecules are shown by the space-filling representation (green, carbon atoms constituting the orifice of 1; gray, carbon; white, hydrogen; blue, nitrogen; red, oxygen).

^{*} Nagoya University.

[§] Institute for Molecular Science.



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectrum of CH₄@1 in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane- d_2 .



Figure 3. DEPT135 spectrum of CH₄@1 in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane-d₂. " \times " indicates impurities derived from used solvents.

the ¹H NMR spectrum relative to the signal at $\delta = 8.15$ ppm assignable to one proton of the quinoxaline moiety in **1**. The sharp singlet signals of the guest CH₄ in both ¹H NMR and DEPT135 spectra suggest its free rotation in the NMR time scale, despite the narrow cavity of C₆₀.¹⁸ Meanwhile, both methylene protons and carbons on the orifice of the host 1 showed detectable but small shifts in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (≤ 0.2 ppm for each signal). These data suggest a small interaction between the trapped methane and 1.

In other measurements,¹⁸ the electrospray mass spectrum of $CH_4@1$ showed the corresponding [M + 16] ion peaks in the range m/z = 1188 - 1190 (Figure 4). Since the same peaks were also observed for oxidized 1 which formed during the measurement, total intensities of these ion peaks did not match with the fraction of CH₄@1 estimated by ¹H NMR. In the IR spectrum, although a significant blue shift has been predicted for the methane C-H stretching vibrations of CH₄@C₆₀ in theory,¹⁶ the trapped methane in 1 could not been identified because of its weak absorption in addition to low content of CH4@1. Also, no detectable change was observed in the UV/vis spectrum.

In summary, we succeeded in the encapsulation of a methane molecule in an open-cage C₆₀ derivative for the first time and demonstrated that even methane can rotate freely in the C₆₀ cavity. Future work, especially isolation of the pure product, will provide additional and valuable information.



Figure 4. ES-MS spectra (negative ion mode) of (a) 1, (b) CH₄@1 (CH₄ content: 28%), and (c) CH₄@1 enriched by HPLC (CH₄ content: 66%).

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 20550122) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan. Computational studies were performed using computer resources at the Research Center for Computational Science, Okazaki, Japan.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, copies of spectra, and molecular modeling coordinates. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Hirsch, A.; Brettreich, M. Fullerenes: Chemistry and Reactions; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; pp 345-358.
- Kitagawa, T.; Murata, Y ; Komatsu, K. Fullerene Reactivity-Fullerene Cations and Open-Cage Fullerenes. In Carbon-Rich Compounds: From *Molecules to Materials*; Haley, M. M., Tykwinski, R. R., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2006; pp 383–420. Rubin, Y. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 1009–1016.
- (4) Komatsu, K.; Murata, M.; Murata, Y.; *Science* 2005, *307*, 238–240.
 (5) Murata, M.; Maeda, S.; Morinaka, Y.; Murata, Y.; Komatsu, K. *J. Am.*
- Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15800-15801, and references cited therein.
- Matsuo, Y.; Isobe, H.; Tanaka, T.; Murata, Y.; Murata, M.; Komatsu, K.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17148-17149.
- Turro, N. J.; Marti', A. A.; Chen, J. Y.-C.; Jockusch, S.; Lawler, R. G.; Ruzzi, M.; Sartori, E.; Chuang, S.-C.; Komatsu, K.; Murata, Y. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 10506–10507.
 Murata, M.; Ochi, Y.; Tanabe, F.; Komatsu, K.; Murata, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2039–2041, and references cited therein.
- Iwamatsu, S.-i.; Uozaki, T.; Kobayashi, K.; Suyong, R.; Nagase, S.; Murata, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2668-2669
- (10) Iwamatsu, S.-i.; Murata, S. Synlett 2005, 2117-2129, and references cited therein.
- (11) Xiao, Z.; Yao, J.; Yang, D.; Wang, F.; Huang, S.; Gan, L.; Jia, Z.; Jiang, Z.; Yang, X.; Zheng, B.; Yuan, G.; Zhang, S.; Wang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 16149–16162.
- (12) Iwamatsu, S.-i.; Stanisky, C. M.; Cross, R. J.; Saunders, M.; Mizorogi, N.; Nagase, S.; Murata, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5337-5340.
- (13) Whitener, K. E., Jr.; Frunzi, M.; Iwamatsu, S.-i.; Murata, S.; Cross, R. J.; Saunders, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13996–13999
- Stanisky, C. M.; Cross, R. J.; Saunders, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3392-3395
- (15)Charkin, O.; Klimenko, N.; Charkin, D.; Mebel, A. Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. **2004**, 49, 868–880.
- Shameema, O.; Ramachandran, C. N.; Sathyamurthy, N. J. Phys. Chem. A (16)2006, 110, 2-4.
- Rehaman, A.; Gagliardi, L.; Pyykkö, P. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 2007, 107, (17)1162-1169.
- (18) Supporting Information.
- (19) Slanina, Z.; Pulay, P.; Nagase, S. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2006, 2, 782-785
- (20) van E. Hommes, N. J. R.; Clark, T. J. Mol. Model. 2005, 11, 175-185.

JA901383R